	UNI	ľED	STATE	ΞS	DIS	TRIC	CT (COURT	[
FOR	THE	WES	STERN	DΙ	STR	ICT	OF	WASI	HINGT	ON
			ДΓ	гη	'ACO	MΑ				

FORMER DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY FOR) CLARK COUNTY JAMES M. PETERS, DETECTIVE) SHARON KRAUSE, SERGEANT MICHAEL) DAVIDSON, CLARK COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S)	
FORMER DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY FOR) CLARK COUNTY JAMES M. PETERS, DETECTIVE) SHARON KRAUSE, SERGEANT MICHAEL) DAVIDSON, CLARK COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S)	
CLARK COUNTY JAMES M. PETERS, DETECTIVE) SHARON KRAUSE, SERGEANT MICHAEL DAVIDSON, CLARK COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S)	11-5424 BHS
OFFICE, CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE,) THE COUNTY OF CLARK, SHIRLEY SPENCER) and JOHN DOES ONE through TEN,) Defendants.)	

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLYDE RAY SPENCER

Monday, November 12, 2012 10:00 a.m. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington

EXHIBIT G

Reported by Marlis J. DeJongh, CCR, RPR Lic. No. DE-JO-NM-J498K9

	Page 5
1	Defendant James Peters.
2	MR. BOGDANOVICH: Guy Bogdanovich on behalf of
3	defendant Sharon Krause.
4	
5	CLYDE RAY SPENCER, deponent herein, being first duly
6	sworn on oath, was examined and
7	testified as follows:
8	
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. FREIMUND:
11	Q. Please state your full name for the record and
12	spell your last name.
13	A. Clyde Ray Spencer, S-p-e-n-c-e-r.
14	Q. Mr. Spencer, what is your date of birth?
15	A. 1/9/48.
16	Q. How old are you now?
17	A. 64.
18	Q. Are you on any medications today?
19	A. Nothing but the medications I took last night for
20	the PTSD and the nightmares.
21	Q. What did you take last night?
22	A. I don't have that information with me. I mean, I
23	can get it for you. My attorney can get it for you.
24	Q. Do you recall the name of the drug that you took?
25	You have to answer out loud, please.
1	

		Page 7
1	Q.	Is that your worry?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Tell me what town you reside in.
4	Α.	Los Angeles.
5	Q.	Los Angeles, California?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	How long have you resided there?
8	Α.	Probably a year and a half now.
9	Q.	How long have you been out of prison?
10	Α.	I was released in December of 2004.
11	Q.	So during the past eight years, approximately, have
12	any of th	ne defendants in this case made any effort to
13	contact y	you?
14	Α.	Not that I know of.
15	Q.	But nonetheless you're afraid that they're going to
16	sometime	in the next future years?
17	Α.	The possibility is there.
18	Q.	Are you afraid of anybody else?
19	A .	No.
20	Q.	So it's just the three named defendants that you're
21	afraid o	f?
22	A.	Correct.
23	Q.	Your allegation in this case, sir, as set forth in
24	your com	plaint is that there was a conspiracy to frame you
25	for crime	es that you didn't commit. Is that right?

			Page 8
1	Α.	That's correct.	
2 .	Q.	Who was a part of this conspiracy?	
3	Α.	Jim Peters, Michael Davidson, and Sharon Krause	3.
4	Q.	Nobody else?	
5	Α.	No.	
6	Q.	So it was just those three people that conspire	ed
7	against	you even though you also named Shirley Spencer,	the
8	County,	Clark County sheriff's office and the Clark Cour	ıty
9	prosecut	cor's office?	
10	Α.	Michael Davidson was employed by the Clark Cour	ıty
11	sheriff'	s. I think Shirley Spencer was a pawn in this.	
12	Q.	So she was involved in the conspiracy unwitting	gly?
13	Α.	I think she was, yes.	
14	Q.	All right. When did this conspiracy form?	
15	Α.	I noticed that my marriage was changing around	
16	October	of 2004. Up until that point in time I had a	
17	stable,	loving relationship with my wife. Suddenly the	
18	argument	s began. This was after the polygraph that	
19	Detectiv	re Davidson indicated I had failed.	
20	My w	wife went there, and after that, she apparently v	vent
21	to the j	ail, or went to the county sheriff's almost on a	ì
22	daily ba	asis. I would call her for hours and she would r	not
23	answer,	and she would indicate that she had been at the	
24	county j	ail or at the sheriff's department speaking with	1
25	Davidson	1.	

- I believe that the relationship started at that point,
- 2 and they manipulated her from on.
- 3 Q. And you have pretty clear memories of that time
- 4 frame of October 1984?
- 5 A. I know that's when the relationship seemed to
- 6 totally reverse. Up until that time she was supportive of
- 7 me, loving. Suddenly there was no personal relationships.
- 8 You have to understand Shirley's mental state. She
- 9 is, she's a fragmented individual. She's obsessed with
- 10 jealousy. Her feelings of how to, a relationship should be,
- 11 there should be a physical confrontation and then a makeup
- 12 afterwards. So all of a sudden it began around that period
- 13 of time.
- 14 Q. That period of time being October 1984?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. What -- and that's when you think the conspiracy
- formed, was sometime around October 1984, just to be clear?
- 18 A. It is.
- 19 Q. What was the goal of the conspiracy, or what was
- 20 the agreement to do?
- 21 A. I think that the agreement was to get me out of the
- 22 picture. Davidson sleeping with my wife. Krause is
- 23 building a career. She is working in conjunction with Jim
- 24 Peters. They're giving lectures up and down the coast.
- 25 Q. So why -- what is Peters' motivation in this

- 1 conspiracy that you believe existed?
- 2 A. He's building a career too.
- 3 Q. So Davidson had one motive to conspire, and Krause
- 4 and Peters had a different motive to conspire. Is that what
- 5 you're saying?
- 6 A. Well, I'm saying that Davidson sleeping with my
- 7 wife. Krause, if you read her reports -- I was a police
- 8 officer in law enforcement 14 years. You don't, you know --
- 9 there is no audio, there is no video of these, of these
- 10 reported interviews with the kids. She comes back a week
- 11 later and writes a 20 page report with quotation marks. I'm
- 12 sorry, I don't buy that. She falsified those reports.
- Davidson was her immediate supervisor. He would have
- 14 known about it. She would have had to give him everything.
- 15 Peters is there, he has it.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let's go back to my question though. My
- 17 question to you is, is it your belief that Davidson had a
- 18 motive underlying this conspiracy that was different than
- 19 the motive that Peters and Krause had?
- 20 A. Well, obviously Peters and Krause are not sleeping
- 21 with my wife, so yes.
- Q. Do you think Peters and Krause were acting in order
- 23 to further this, a relationship between Mike Davidson and
- 24 your wife?
- 25 A. Whether they were acting to further that

- 1 relationship I have no idea. But I know they took an active
- 2 part in this conspiracy.
- 3 Q. Okay. So Krause and Peters had an agreement in
- 4 this conspiracy to further their career by framing you for a
- 5 crime you claim you didn't commit, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. But Mr. Davidson had a separate and independent
- 8 reason for attempting to frame you for a crime you didn't
- 9 commit, as you claim. Is that your testimony?
- 10 A. Davidson is the lead supervisor. Krause works
- 11 directly for him. He's controlling that investigation. She
- 12 has to run things through him. There is no way that I'll
- 13 ever believe that he wasn't aware of what was going on. And
- 14 he had his own motivation for directing that investigation.
- 15 Q. So his motivation was independent and different
- 16 from the motivation of Krause and Peters, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Who was the ringleader of this conspiracy?
- 19 A. I think Davidson. He's controlling the
- 20 investigation.
- Q. Was Mr. Rulli involved in the conspiracy?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Who were the other pawns, if there were any, in
- 24 this conspiracy? You mentioned Shirley Spencer was a pawn
- 25 in the conspiracy. Were there others?

- 1 Q. My question to you though is, do you have any
- 2 reason to doubt the accuracy of what Ms. Arden said?
- 3 A. I have no reason to doubt the accuracy or the
- 4 inaccuracy of it.
- 5 Q. All right. Now let's look at the second page of
- 6 Exhibit 2, please. I will direct your attention to the
- 7 first full paragraph on that page which kind of looks like
- 8 the second paragraph on the page.
- 9 And Ms. Arden here is documenting what you were telling
- 10 her about your daughter Kathryn Spencer's initial disclosure
- 11 of abuse.
- 12 Is it your understanding, sir, that that is the fact,
- 13 that the first person that your daughter Kathryn Spencer
- 14 disclosed sexual abuse by you, the first person she told
- 15 that to you was your wife at the time Shirley Spencer?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And do you believe your wife Shirley Spencer was a
- 18 pawn in a conspiracy at the time that disclosure was made to
- 19 her by Kathryn Spencer?
- 20 A. I have no way of knowing that because I have no way
- 21 of knowing when the affair started.
- 22 Q. So you don't know if she was a pawn --
- 23 A. I can't say.
- Q. -- at that point or not?
- A. No, I can't say.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 51 house? I did, yes. Α. How frequently did you do that? 0. Α. Best of my knowledge, this investigation spanned probably a two to three-week period. I was assigned to the, for lack of a better term, task force, so I would imagine that I had regular shifts. I don't recall now how much time I spent. Q. Okay. How often did you interact with Sharon Krause during the course of that investigation? I didn't. Α. Q. You never met her at all? Α. No. So I thought that was the interaction where you Q. first met Sharon Krause. I must have misunderstood because

- 17 A. I met Sharon Krause in the sense that they had a
- 18 briefing and she was giving the briefing to the officers
- 19 involved. I had no personal contact with Sharon Krause.
- 20 Don't know if I ever spoke to her personally or not.

my question was, when did you first meet her?

- 21 Q. So to your knowledge the first time you probably
- 22 spoke to her personally was as reflected in Exhibit 1 on the
- 23 second page at the top on the morning of August 29, 1984
- 24 when you telephone called -- made a telephone call to her.
- 25 Do you believe that's the first time you ever spoke to her?

	Page 52
1	A. I believe that's accurate.
2	MS. ZELLNER: You need to read what he's referring
3	to.
4	Q. After your attorney pointed out to you the second
5	sentence to try to get you to say something different after
6	you first said
7	MS. ZELLNER: No, actually
8	COURT REPORTER: Wait, wait.
9	MS. ZELLNER: I would object. You need to identify
10	the paragraphs you're referring to.
11	MR. FREIMUND: I just did.
12	MS. ZELLNER: You didn't.
13	Q. I said, Page 2, where it says, On the morning of
14	August 29th, 1984 I received a phone call from a male
15	subject who identified himself as Vancouver police officer
16	Ray Spencer, and asked, is that the first time that you
17	spoke to Ms. Krause personally, to your knowledge?
18	A. To my knowledge, yes.
19	Q. When was the first time, to your knowledge, that
20	you ever met or spoke to Sergeant Mike Davidson?
21	A. I served a search warrant with Davidson one time.
22	Q. Do you recall how long that was before this
23	investigation involving you commenced?
24	A. Probably a couple years.
25	Q. Was that the first and only time you met Sergeant

- 1 of that first paragraph, Detective Krause is relating that
- 2 she shared with Dr. Abrams the information she had obtained
- 3 from DeAnne Spencer regarding Spencer reportedly raping a
- 4 neighbor girl by the name of Rhonda during the time they
- 5 were living in California prior to the Spencers moving to
- 6 Vancouver.
- 7 Do you recall Dr. Abrams asking you about that alleged
- 8 rape of a neighbor girl named Rhonda?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did that happen, that you had sexual relations with
- 11 a neighbor girl named Rhonda?
- 12 A. I did. She was 19 years old, six foot one, and had
- 13 a set of 38 double Ds.
- 14 Q. All right. And that occurred during the time you
- were married to DeAnne Spencer?
- 16 A. It did.
- 17 Q. Do you recall being told after the second polygraph
- 18 that Dr. Abrams concluded that the results of your second
- 19 polygraph were indicative of deception?
- 20 A. No, I recall Sergeant Davidson telling me I failed
- 21 the second one too.
- 22 Q. Do you recall talking to Dr. Abrams about that at
- 23 all?
- A. No, because Dr. Abrams would give the polygraph and
- 25 get up and walk out of the room.

- 1 Q. Do you think Dr. Abrams was a pawn in the
- 2 conspiracy?
- 3 A. I think Dr. Abrams did shoddy work. He has a
- 4 reputation. I know he's not alive now, but he did shoddy
- 5 work.
- 6 If you read the last statement on that polygraph, he
- 7 wasn't conclusively -- he wasn't confident of the results,
- 8 and he even says something to the effect, I'm hoping that
- 9 something else comes along to clarify this.
- But that was not what was told to me. Davidson said,
- 11 you failed it.
- 12 Q. Do you think that Dr. Abrams was a pawn in the
- 13 conspiracy you believe that existed?
- MS. ZELLNER: Asked and answered.
- MR. FREIMUND: It wasn't answered.
- 16 Could you answer it?
- 17 A. I think I did answer it. Dr. Abrams did shoddy
- 18 work. I don't know if he was a pawn in it or not. All I
- 19 know is that he wasn't a professional.
- Q. All right. You said that Rhonda was 19 years old
- 21 when you had sex with her. Did you have sex with her just
- 22 one time?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And she was your neighbor's daughter?
- 25 A. That's correct.

		Page 69
1	Q.	How old were you when you had sex with her?
2	Α.	Probably 30.
3	Q.	There was a confrontation with your neighbors
4	shortly	after you had sex with Rhonda, was there not?
5	Α.	There was.
6	Q.	And they were upset, accusing you of having raped
7	her, wer	e they not?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Did you call the police that time?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	Did you disclose to your wife at the time, DeAnne
12	Spencer,	that you did have sex with her but it was
13	consensu	al?
14	Α.	No.
15	Q.	Did your wife DeAnne Spencer have any knowledge
16	about th	is confrontation between you and your next-door
17	neighbor	about having sexual relations with this 19-year-old
18	named Rh	onda?
19	Α.	They called DeAnne over there and told them. And
20	one of t	he individuals that was present was a cousin of
21	Rhonda's	, and six months before she had told DeAnne and I
22	that her	cousin raped her.
23	This	individual was there and he was talking some long
24	aggressi	ve, what he was going to do. And DeAnne says, wait
25	a minuta	she looked at me and she said wasn't he the

- 1 gave was the pending charges of these allegations.
- 2 Q. Would you agree with me that looking at Exhibit 4,
- 3 three reasons are given on Page 2 under the paragraph of
- 4 Roman Numeral 2?
- 5 A. Those are the reasons, yes, but they're not
- 6 factual.
- 7 Q. Okay. The reasons that Chief Davis indicates in
- 8 writing on January 8, 1985 for your termination were, one,
- 9 you engaged in sexual relations with a minor and that such
- 10 relations were immoral; two, that prior to joining the
- 11 Vancouver Police Department you did sexually assault an
- 12 individual; and three, that between October 1979 and January
- 13 1980 you did report false information to your supervisors
- 14 while you were involved in an undercover investigation.
- 15 Did I read that correctly?
- 16 A. You read it correctly.
- 17 Q. And then if you look at the next paragraph, Roman
- 18 Numeral 3 there, it says, A more detailed statement of the
- 19 reasons for your discharge and removal will be promptly
- 20 provided to you upon your request or request by your
- 21 attorney.
- You did make such a request for a more detailed
- 23 statement, did you not?
- 24 A. I did.
- Q. And if you turn to the last two pages of Exhibit 4,

- 1 that is the bill of particulars that begins at the top of
- 2 that page: Upon the written request of Clyde Ray Spencer
- 3 dated January 8, 1985 the City of Vancouver hereby provides
- 4 the following bill of particulars supporting its notice of
- 5 dismissal dated January, 1985.
- 6 Did I read that correctly?
- 7 A. Yes, you did.
- Q. And it clarifies or expands upon those three
- 9 reasons I just gave you, the reference to having sex with --
- 10 sexually assaulting an individual prior to joining the
- 11 Vancouver Police Department is explained in more detail
- 12 under Allegation No. 1, is it not?
- 13 A. That's what the allegation is, yes.
- 14 Q. And that references the forcible rape without
- 15 consent of Rhonda Short, correct?
- 16 A. That was consensual. It was not a rape.
- 17 Q. But yet the Vancouver Police Department concluded
- 18 that it was forcible without consent, and based on that
- 19 conclusion that was one of the reasons they gave you for why
- 20 they fired you, correct?
- 21 A. Where is the due process in that?
- Q. Is that correct? That's one of the reasons they
- 23 gave you for why they --
- 24 A. That's one of the reasons they gave but it's not
- 25 accurate.

- 1 it.
- Q. All right. Do you think it was inappropriate for
- 3 you to have Nancy -- sexual relationship with Nancy Steigman
- 4 under the circumstances that you were engaged in?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. It was perfectly okay?
- 7 A. You know, I haven't -- I haven't diminished the
- 8 fact that I had affairs, that I slept with women. So I'm
- 9 not going to sit here and try to justify it, if that's what
- 10 you're looking for.
- 11 Q. I'm just asking --
- 12 A. I did it. I slept with her.
- 13 Q. I understand that. I'm asking if you think it's
- 14 okay that you did so.
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you think there was nothing wrong with
- 17 you having a sexual relationship with Rhonda Short?
- 18 A. That was consensual. She came to the house that
- 19 night to talk to me about the fact that her boyfriend was
- 20 coming over the next day, along with a girlfriend and her
- 21 boyfriend, and that she was trying to figure out how she
- 22 could not bring her girlfriend and boyfriend over there so
- 23 she could sleep with the guy. The next day she came to my
- 24 house for my son's birthday party. Does that sound like
- 25 somebody that has been violently raped? Why would she come

- 1 February 20, 1985 she wasn't at work because she was ill but
- 2 yet while at home she received a call that Clark County
- 3 deputies had responded again to the Spencer residence on a
- 4 civil standby regarding some guns.
- 5 Do you recall an incident on or about February 20, 1985
- 6 where the police again came to your home this time in
- 7 relationship to issues regarding some weapons you had there?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So Detective Krause is accurately reporting that
- 10 this incident did in fact occur, is she not?
- 11 A. Yeah. This was after Shirley Spencer had dropped
- 12 her five-year-old off at my motel.
- Now I don't know about you, but I had already been
- 14 arrested for supposedly pedophilia with my daughter. What
- 15 reasonable woman would drop her five-year-old off there if
- 16 she thought there was any danger of it.
- And why is it that for a week before that every time I
- asked Shirley to stay she would say, I can't because if I do
- 19 I can't go through with this.
- That was a setup. The whole thing was a setup between
- 21 Krause, Davidson and Peters. They manipulated Shirley, they
- 22 knew their case was weak. They didn't have probable cause
- 23 to make the first arrest because it was based on false
- 24 reporting.
- Q. So you think a deputy prosecuting attorney Jim

- 1 Peters was involved in the decision to urge Shirley Spencer
- 2 to take her five-year-old son to stay with you at this
- 3 motel?
- 4 A. I think Michael Davidson did that.
- 5 Q. Well, you mentioned Peters too and that's why --
- 6 A. That's part of the conspiracy, yes.
- 7 Q. So you think Peters was involved in that decision?
- 8 A. I think Michael Davidson is the one that
- 9 manipulated Shirley into dropping that child off knowing
- 10 there was no damage because I didn't do it. They had
- 11 already falsified these reports.
- 12 Q. Try to listen to my question, sir. I'm focusing on
- 13 Jim Peters, the prosecutor.
- 14 A. I believe I've answered that question. It was
- 15 Michael Davidson. That's who I feel manipulated this child,
- 16 or manipulated Shirley into dropping this child off.
- 17 Q. So you don't think Jim Peters had any role in that
- 18 decision?
- 19 A. I think Jim Peters is as deep involved in this
- 20 conspiracy as all the rest of them.
- 21 Q. So try to answer my question then.
- 22 A. I think I just did.
- Q. Do you think that Jim Peters was involved in a
- 24 decision to urge Shirley Spencer to take her five-year-old
- 25 son to the motel you were staying in?

- 1 A. I don't think that Davidson had a -- was, probably
- 2 didn't even tell Peters. Okay.
- 3 Q. So you don't think Peters was involved in that
- 4 decision then?
- 5 A. I don't know whether he was or not. I'll tell you
- 6 right now that, would you drop a five-year-old at somebody's
- 7 motel to spend the night, you don't bring them clothes,
- 8 don't bring them toys, you drop them off, somebody that had
- 9 just been arrested and was out of OR on pedophilia and
- 10 you're going to drop a child off? I'm sorry, I'm not buying
- 11 that.
- 12 Q. Do you think that Detective Krause was involved in
- 13 a decision to convince Shirley Spencer to drop her
- 14 five-year-old son off at that motel room?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. What do think she did?
- 17 A. Excuse me?
- 18 Q. What do you think she did to enable that to happen?
- 19 A. I think they realized their case was falling apart
- 20 and they needed something to buffer it. Why would they hide
- 21 the medical exams on my daughter?
- Q. So just so I understand your testimony, you think a
- 23 sergeant in a sheriff's office and a detective in a
- 24 sheriff's office convinced a mother of a five-year-old child
- 25 to take her child to a motel room where a man has been

- 1 eyes on DeAnne.
- 2 Q. Maybe you could answer my question first and
- 3 then --
- 4 MS. ZELLNER: He's answering.
- 5 A. I am answering your question, sir.
- 6 Q. All right. Go ahead.
- 7 A. I met DeAnne in a book store in Sacramento. She
- 8 had hip huggers to where I could see below her navel, she
- 9 had a cropped top on with her breast hanging out and long
- 10 blonde wigs.
- I had nothing to do -- she was hanging with an older
- 12 aunt at the time. That's how she dressed. I didn't make
- 13 her dress like that.
- Q. Now your testimony, sir, is both DeAnne and Shirley
- 15 Spencer had a lot of problems, right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And they both ultimately were out to get you?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And they both ultimately made up stories about you
- 20 to make you look bad. Is that --
- 21 A. Based on information that they were receiving from
- 22 Detective Krause and Michael Davidson.
- Q. Do you think Detective Krause -- let me start with
- 24 Davidson. Do you have any reason to believe Sergeant
- 25 Davidson had any contact with DeAnne Spencer down in

- 1 asking you though is what do you believe. Not what you know
- 2 but what you believe. Are you with me so far?
- 3 A. Yeah. I'm a fairly intelligent man. I think I
- 4 understand English.
- 5 Q. So I am asking you, do you believe, whether you
- 6 know it's true or not, but do you believe that Detective
- 7 Davidson, I'm sorry, Sergeant Davidson manipulated Kathryn
- 8 Spencer into making her first disclosure to Shirley Spencer?
- 9 A. Probably not.
- 10 Q. Do you believe that Shirley Spencer manipulated
- 11 Kathryn Spencer into making that disclosure?
- 12 A. I have no idea. I have no idea.
- 13 Q. You're less sure in that belief?
- A. She was being manipulated but I don't know by who.
- 15 Q. Did it ever come to your attention that Kathryn
- 16 Spencer first disclosed sexual abuse by you to Shirley
- 17 Spencer and then the second time she disclosed that sexual
- 18 abuse was to her therapist in Sacramento?
- 19 A. It's my understanding that she kept telling the
- 20 therapist that nothing happened.
- 21. Q. That's your understanding?
- 22 A. That was my understanding.
- 23 Q. So if it came to your attention that in fact she
- 24 not only told the therapist -- let's get a date here.
- MS. ZELLNER: Let's get the date.

- 1 Big Matt said I did it, then I must have done it?
- 2 A. When the charges were lodged in reference to Big
- 3 Matt, I had lost everything. My mental state was extremely
- 4 low. I was heavily medicated, I was hallucinating. I would
- 5 jump at every sound. I'm locked in a county jail in a
- 6 medical ward. So, yeah, I may have said that. That may
- 7 have been the final straw right there.
- 8 Q. And you do recall telling the judge when you plead
- 9 guilty to the judge that you can't remember it and that's
- 10 why you were pleading guilty. Do you remember that?
- 11 A. I believe I said that to the judge, yes.
- 12 Q. By the way, your belief is this judge, Judge Thomas
- 13 Lodge, Clark County Superior Court judge, you thought he had
- 14 it in for you because you had pulled over his daughter
- 15 sometime previously. Is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct. She nearly ran my car -- she was
- 17 racing another guy and nearly ran my police car into the
- 18 Columbia River.
- 19 When I stopped her, ID'd her, saw the last name, I asked
- 20 if her dad was Tom Lodge and she said yes. I went ahead and
- 21 released her. And as a father I called him that night and
- 22 said, You may want to talk to your daughter.
- 23 And Judge Lodge says, what right do you have to stop my
- 24 daughter. I said, I didn't know it was your daughter. He
- 25 said, I want to know what right you had to stop my daughter.

- 1 Q. So you thought Judge Lodge kind of held a grudge
- 2 against you for the incident?
- 3 A. Well, Judge Lodge gave me the harshest sentence
- 4 that was ever handed out in Clark County, so probably.
- 5 Q. Do you think Judge Lodge took out his displeasure
- 6 with you in other ways besides issuing a life sentence to
- 7 you for multiple counts of sexually abusing three children?
- 8 A. I think Judge Lodge made his decision based on the
- 9 information that was provided to him, which nobody ever told
- 10 him that allegedly there were a dozen other cops involved in
- 11 these molestations. It was not stressed to him my mental
- 12 state at the time. It was not stressed to him that there
- 13 was an affair going on with Michael Davidson and my wife.
- 14 It was not stressed to him that there was a videotape that
- wasn't turned over for 25 years. Judge Lodge made his
- 16 decision on what they gave him and it was very little.
- 17 Q. And it also was your agreement that you would
- 18 accept a plea of guilty because you believed that a jury
- 19 would convict you?
- 20 A. That's the basis of an Alford Plea, yes, sir.
- 21 Q. When you say, what did you say, that a dozen cops
- 22 were involved?
- A. Well, that's what was told, that there were
- 24 multiple police officers that also were involved in this
- 25 molestation.

Page 198 1 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. The time now is 4:27 p.m. 2 3 (Recess.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record. The time now is 5 4:39 p.m. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY MS. FETTERLY: 8 Mr. Spencer, I think we've been introduced earlier. 9 Ο. I represent James Peters, another defendant. 10 Earlier in Mr. Freimund's questions there was a lot of 11 12 discussion about what you term a conspiracy to obtain a 13 conviction and frame you for a crime that you didn't commit, or several crimes you didn't commit. Do you remember that 14 15 discussion? 16 Α. Yes, ma'am. And it's your contention, as I understand that 17 earlier testimony, that Defendant James Peters was also a 18 part of that conspiracy. Is that correct? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 When did he join that conspiracy? 0. 22 Well, I have no idea when he was joining a 23 conspiracy but it's my understanding that Krause was in contact with him on a regular basis. 24 25 It's also my understanding that the night before that

- 1 videotape was made that Krause and Rulli met with my
- 2 daughter.
- 3 Q. Krause and Rulli?
- 4 A. Not Rulli, but Peters. And I think the fact that
- 5 that videotape is pretty damning as far as his role in this
- 6 whole thing.
- 7 Q. Well, my question was, when did he join the
- 8 conspiracy.
- 9 A. Well, again, I have no idea when he joined it other
- 10 than the fact that he was in regular contact, is my
- 11 understanding, with Sharon Krause. He was the prosecutor in
- 12 the case.
- Q. Well, we'll explore that a little further in a
- 14 moment.
- Was there anything particularly unusual in your mind for
- 16 Mr. Peters as a deputy prosecuting attorney for Clark County
- 17 to be in fairly regular contact on cases in general with
- 18 Sharon Krause who was a detective?
- A. Well, it's something that would happen on a regular
- 20 basis but he should have also been questioning these reports
- 21 that she was putting together.
- Q. Well, we'll get to that in a minute. My question
- 23 was simply, is there anything, in the abstract, unusual
- 24 about a deputy prosecuting attorney having fairly regular
- 25 contact on cases with a detective in the sheriff's office?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Now is it your contention that James Peters knew of
- 3 the sexual relationship that you maintain took place between
- 4 Michael Davidson and Shirley Spencer prior to the time that
- 5 you entered your guilty plea in May of 1985?
- 6 A. I can't say that for a fact, but it, according to
- 7 Krause, it was common knowledge.
- Q. You're basing it on Ms. Krause's earlier statement,
- 9 I think taken out of context in one of her depositions, that
- 10 it was common knowledge. Is that what you base it on?
- 11 A. I question taken out of context.
- 12 Q. Okay. You're basing it -- any other evidence to
- 13 suggest that he, when he knew of the relationship and that
- 14 he knew of it before May of 1985?
- 15 A. I have no indication that he knew or he didn't
- 16 know.
- 17 Q. Now you allege at one point in the complaint that
- 18 Mr. Peters was what you described heavily involved in the
- 19 investigation of the Spencer case. When -- how was he
- 20 heavily involved in the investigation as opposed to the
- 21 prosecution?
- 22 A. He was -- he's the one that was overseeing this
- 23 investigation as far as the prosecutor's office. He was
- 24 meeting with Krause on a regular basis. It was his
- 25 decisions whether he wanted to make the arrest, he wanted to

- 1 to, I think you said collaborate, was that the word you
- 2 used, with law enforcement in an investigation, how do you
- 3 know that?
- 4 A. I was a police officers for 14 years.
- 5 Q. Have you yourself ever collaborated with a
- 6 prosecuting attorney while an investigation is ongoing, in
- 7 other words, before a decision has been made to file
- 8 charges?
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 Q. And now let's turn to September of 1984. Was he
- involved in the investigation at that point?
- 12 A. Again, I have no idea when he was or he wasn't.
- Q. Who made the decision to file charges against you?
- 14 A. I assume Jim Peters did.
- 15 Q. Who actually filed the charges?
- 16 A. I don't know if Jim Peters in conjunction with his
- 17 boss Art Curtis, I don't know exactly who filed them.
- MS. FETTERLY: This might help. I'll ask the court
- reporter to mark this, please.
- 20 (Exhibit No. 10 marked for identification.)
- Q. Does that document, Exhibit No. 10, refresh your
- 22 recollection as to who actually filed the initial
- 23 information?
- A. Based on this it indicates that Art Curtis did.
- 25 Q. Was Art Curtis involved in the conspiracy that you

- 1 talked about earlier?
- 2 A. No, I don't believe he was, but I also am led to
- 3 believe that Jim Peters was the actual prosecutor that was
- 4 monitoring this case as it went along.
- Now, Art Curtis was his boss so it's not uncommon for
- 6 him to have sent it up there and conferred with Art Curtis.
- 7 Q. So you said Art Curtis at the time was James
- 8 Peters' boss. Would it be likely then that Mr. Curtis would
- 9 take direction from one of his deputies that he had to file
- 10 charges?
- 11 A. Not necessarily. If he brought the information to
- 12 his boss based on what the information he had, then it would
- 13 not be uncommon for them to go forth and then Art Curtis may
- 14 have signed this.
- 15 Q. Why do you assume that Mr. Curtis signed this
- 16 solely on information Mr. Peters brought forth?
- 17 A. Well, it's my understanding that Art Curtis was not
- 18 directly involved in this investigation, so who else, whom
- 19 else would it be that is bringing this information to the
- 20 prosecutor's office.
- 21 Q. Do you have any knowledge whether or not Mr. Curtis
- 22 was conferring with representatives from not only the
- 23 sheriff's department, including the elected sheriff, and
- 24 also was communicating with the police chief shortly before
- 25 these charges were filed that might have led him to make the